

1 Miles N. Clark
Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13848
2 **LAW OFFICES OF MILES N. CLARK, LLC**
5510 S. Fort Apache Rd, Suite 30
3 Las Vegas, NV 89148
Phone: (702) 856-7430
4 Fax: (702) 552-2370
Email: miles@milesclarklaw.com

5 *Local Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*
6 [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

7 Todd L. Bice
PISANELLI BICE PLLC
8 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101
9 Telephone: 702.214.2100
tlb@pisanellibice.com

10 Angela C. Agrusa*
11 **DLA PIPER LLP (US)**
2000 Avenue of the Stars
12 Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
13 Telephone: 310.595.3000
angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

14 **Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

15 *Attorneys for Defendant*
16 *MGM Resorts International*

17
18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

20 KYLE SLOAN, individually and on behalf of
21 all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 VICI PROPERTIES INC. and MGM
24 RESORTS INTERNATIONAL,
25 Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02042-ART-BW

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
TO FILE DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
(SECOND REQUEST)**

1 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff Kyle Sloan, and Defendant MGM Resorts
 2 International (“MGM”) (collectively, the “Parties”) respectfully stipulate MGM’s time to
 3 respond to the Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024 to and
 4 including April 10, 2024. This is the second stipulation for an extension of time to file
 5 MGM’s responsive pleading. The Court previously granted an extension on February 28,
 6 2024. ECF No. 21.

7 Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There
 8 are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the
 9 “Related Actions”). *See Owens v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01480; *Kirwan v. MGM*
 10 *Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01481; *Zussman v. VICI Properties I LLC, et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-
 11 01537; *Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01549; *Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No.
 12 2:23-cv-01550; *Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01577; *Rundell v. MGM Resorts*
 13 *Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01698; *Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01719; *Albrigo v. MGM*
 14 *Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-1981; *Zari v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01777; *Manson v.*
 15 *MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01826; *Mejia v. MGM Resorts Int’l, et al.*, No. 2:24-cv-
 16 00081; *Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-2064.

17 On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs’ counsel in six consolidated
 18 putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was
 19 compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the “2019
 20 Actions”) filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1,
 21 notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM*
 22 *Resorts Int’l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188.
 23 Plaintiffs in those actions have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a
 24 different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the
 25 plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the
 26 Related Actions.

27 The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the
 28 consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged

1 in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice,
2 which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time
3 to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.

4 The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize these-meet
5 and-confers, and to conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is in its
6 infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.

7 **WHEREAS** the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10,
8 2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

9 Dated: March 7, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

10 By: /s/ Jeffrey S. Goldenberg
11 Miles N. Clark
12 5510 S. Fort Apache Rd., Suite 30
13 Las Vegas, NV 89148-7700
miles@milesclarklaw.com

14 Charles E. Schaffer
15 Nicholas J. Elia *
16 **LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN LLP**
17 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500
18 Philadelphia, PA 19106
19 cschaffer@lfsblaw.com
20 nelia@lfsblaw.com

21 Jeffrey S. Goldenberg
22 **GOLDENBERG SCHNEIDER, LPA**
23 4445 Lake Forest Drive, Suite 490
24 Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
25 jgoldenberg@gs-legal.com

26 Patrick J. Brickman
27 **DWORKEN & BERNSTEIN, CO., L.P.A.**
28 60 South Park Place
Painesville, Ohio 44077
pbrickman@dworkenlaw.com
Counsel for Plaintiff and Proposed Class

* Pro hac vice forthcoming
Attorney for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

and

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice

Todd L. Bice

PISANELLI BICE, PLLC

400 S. 7th Street Suite 300

Las Vegas, NV 89101

tlb@pisanellibice.com

Angela C. Agrusa

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

2000 Avenue of the Stars

Suite 400 North Tower

Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735

Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Defendant

MGM Resorts International

IT IS SO ORDERED:



THE HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 3/8/2024